UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/589,361	10/22/2007	Hing L. Chiu	AGTZ 200072US01 6033		
27885 FAY SHARPE	7590 05/20/201 ¹ LLP	EXAMINER			
1228 Euclid Av	•	TRIEU, THERESA			
The Halle Build Cleveland, OH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3748		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/20/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments		10/589,361		CHIU, HING L.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Theresa Trieu		3748				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 N	May 2010						
2a)□			İ					
3)□	· 							
تا(د	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pane Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 455 C.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1 and 3-16 is/are pending in the appl	lication.						
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-16 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirem	nent.					
٣/١	and duspose to receive and and	or orocaerrioquilori						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 August 2006</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	a priority updor 25 l	19 (2 8 110/2)	(d) or (f)				
•	-	i priority under 55 t	J.S.C. § 119(a)	-(u) or (i).				
a)	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 li	nterview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		per No(s)/Mail Date					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>Aug. 14, 2006</u> .	· —	lotice of Informal Pa other:	atent Application				

This Office Action is responsive to the applicant's amendment filed on May 10, 2010.

Page 2

Claims 1 and 12 have been amended. Claims 2 and 17 have been canceled. Overall,

claims 1 and 3-16 are pending in this application.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they

do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 204, 206 (see page 8,

para. [0052], line 3, Figs. 4-6). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d)

are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended

replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior

version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted

after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement

Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the

examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the

next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they

include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 44' (see Fig. 6).

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the

specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR

1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any

amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate

prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet

submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-6, 11, 12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Joy (Patent Number 5,403,173) or Waddleton (Patent Number 5,417,556) in view of Dunn (Patent Number 3,770,332).

Regarding claims 1, 12, 14 and 15, Joy (as shown in Fig. 1-6) or Waddleton (as shown in Figs. 1-4) discloses a gear pump comprising: a housing including an interior pumping chamber (12, 14 in Joy; 12, 14 in Waddleton); an inlet (36 in Joy; 42 in Waddleton) to the chamber; an outlet (40 in Joy; 44 in Waddleton) from the chamber and spaced from the inlet; a pair of rotating gears (16, 18 in Joy; 34, 35 in Waddleton) in the chamber, the gears including teeth which mesh during gear rotation, each gear being fixedly secured on a shaft having an axis of rotation; and a pair of one-piece bearings (22, 24 in Joy; 48, 50 in Waddleton) located in the chamber and journaling one of first and second end portions of each shaft (20, 26 in Joy; 32, 33 in Waddleton), the one-piece bearings providing precise alignment of the first and second end portions of the shafts and maintaining the shafts in parallel relation; each bearing (22, 24 in Joy;

48, 50 in Waddleton) is homogenous and has a substantially uniform composition throughout. However, Joy or Waddleton fails to disclose material which makes up one-piece bearings.

Regarding claims 1 and 12, Dunn teaches that it is conventional in the art to utilize onepiece bearings being manufactured from powdered metal (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have utilized the powdered metal one-piece bearings, as taught by Dunn in the Joy or Waddleton apparatus, since the use thereof would have improved the performance and efficiency of the gear pump device.

Regarding claims 3-6 and 11, Joy further discloses each one-piece bearing 22, 24 has a generally oblong cross section (see Figs. 5 and 6); each one-piece bearing including a top surface, a bottom surface, a pair of openings having center axes coincident with the axes of rotation of the shafts, and first and second elongated sides, opposing ends of the first side being joined to corresponding opposing ends of the second side by a pair of arcuate ends; the first elongated side being parallel to the second elongated side; the first and second elongated sides being generally planar (see col. 3, line 25-42); each gear 16, 18 being secured perpendicularly on each shaft 20, 26.

Note that regarding claims 12, 14 and 15 (method claim), the modified Joy or Waddleton teaches a gear pump comprising a pair of one piece bearings being homogenous having substantially all features as discussed supra. Under the principles of inherency, if a prior art device, in its normal and usual operation, would perform the method claimed, then the method claimed will be considered inherent by the prior art device. When the prior art device is obviously the same as a device described in the specification for carrying out the claimed

Art Unit: 3748

method, it can be assumed the device would obviously perform the claimed process. *In re King,* 801 F.2d 1324, 231 USPQ 136 (Fed. Cir. 1986). See MPEP 2112.02.

4. Claims 7-9, 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Joy or Waddleton in view of Dunn as applied to claims 1 and 12 above, and further in view of Burr (Patent Number 5,538,355).

Regarding claims 7 and 16, the modified Joy or Waddleton discloses the invention as recited above; however, the modified Joy or Waddleton fails to disclose material which makes up gears.

Burr teaches that it is conventional in the art to utilize gears being manufactured from powdered metal (see col. 1, line 10-15). With regard claims 8, 9 and 13, Burr further discloses each gear 10 includes an opening adapted to receive the shaft 40 thereby allowing for self alignment of the teeth of the gears as the gears mesh; wherein each shaft 40 including an axial recess and each gear 10 including an axial groove dimensioned to receive a pin 50 for preventing rotation of the gears on the respective shafts. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have utilized the powdered metal gear, as taught by Burr in the modified Joy or Waddleton apparatus, since the use thereof would have improved the performance and provided a lower cost gear pump.

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Joy or Waddleton in view of Dunn as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Burr (Patent Number 5,538,355).

Regarding claim 10, the modified Joy or Waddleton discloses the invention as recited above; however, the modified Joy or Waddleton fails to disclose first and second grooves extending radially about the periphery of each shaft for receiving snap rings.

Prijatel teaches that it is conventional in the art to utilize each shaft 8, 9 including first and second grooves extending radially about the periphery of each shaft for receiving associated snap rings 14. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have utilized the snap rings being radially about the periphery of each shaft, as taught by Prijatel in the modified Joy or Waddleton apparatus, since the use thereof would have prevented the leakage.

Prior Art

6. The IDS (PTO-1449) filed on Aug. 14, 2006 has been considered. An initialized copy is attached hereto.

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theresa Trieu whose telephone number is 571-272-4868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am- 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion can be reached on 571-272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,361 Page 7

Art Unit: 3748

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

TT /Theresa Trieu/

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3748